

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/005,244	WELLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773	

All Participants:

(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

(2) Bryan Opalko.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) Dieter Weller.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 September 2005

Time: PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

all

Claims discussed:

13,27

Prior art documents discussed:

Saito


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Examiner indicated that amendment to positively recite that the nanoparticles within each pit consist of a single magnetic specie would appear to distinguish over Saito, since Saito requires a combination of hard and soft magnetic species. The Examiner noted that the requirement that the particles be "magnetic" was deemed to be critical and/or essential to the practice of the invention, since the disclosed invention is a "magnetic" recording medium. Applicants agreed to the proposed changes.